

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			_		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/721,707	11/25/2003	Jeffrey G. Thompson	7784-000676	6306	
27572 7.	590 11/16/2005	EXAMINER			
HARNESS, D	DICKEY & PIERCE,	GABOR,	GABOR, OTILIA		
P.O. BOX 828		APTIBUT	DARED MINADED	_	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	ا
			2884		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AK			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.00	10/721,707	THOMPSON, JEF	FREY G.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Otilia Gabor	2884				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING (I)  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	November 2003.					
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	4) ☐ Interview Summar	v (PTO-412)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/03,02/16/05.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/721,707

Art Unit: 2884

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 2-5, 15, 17-19, 25-28, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The term "cold signature" in claims 2, 17, 25, 32 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.
- 4. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the liquid couplant" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

1.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2884

6. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-17, 19-24, 29-32, 34, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Novotny (U. S. Patent 6,847,907).

Novotny discloses an apparatus and method for detecting defects in a test Specimen (composite structure), the method comprising: applying a liquid couplant applied on the specimen under inspection; acoustically exciting the liquid couplant; monitoring the liquid couplant for vibration effects which includes a standing wave of liquid couplant and which indicates the defects in the specimen (see Col.15, line 40-Col.16, line 45). The method further includes the step of thermally monitoring the specimen by acquiring infrared images of the couplant, as well as visually monitoring the specimen to acquire real-time images of the couplant to the inspector. Novotny also discloses that water is usually used as the liquid coupler. The acoustic exciter coupled to the specimen excites the test specimen to cause the liquid coupler to produce a wave that is characteristic of the defect (defect signature), which signature is detected by a transducer. The infrared images are acquired using a camera which displays the images of the defect signatures to the inspector (see Figs. 1-9 and corresponding descriptions). Novotny discloses that the acquiring of the image is done by acquiring an image before and after the excitation and comparing the two images to detect the defects in the specimen.

7. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-17, 19-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Rothenfusser (DE 10147102 A1).

Rothenfusser discloses an apparatus and method for detecting defects in a

Page 4

Art Unit: 2884

specimen (B) by: applying a liquid detection medium (A) to the test specimen (B); exciting the test specimen (B0 to cause the liquid detection medium (A) to produce a defect signature (SW, AB) for a defect in the test specimen; monitoring the liquid detection medium (A) for defect signatures (SW, AB) produced by the liquid detection medium (A) (the wave amplitudes of the object and the liquid detection medium allow the identification of a certain structure or signature, and the standing wave indicates a portion with structural defects) (see figures and corresponding description). The monitoring is done with a camera (K), which detects different heat emission intensities.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3, 8, 18, 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novotny or Rothenfusser.

Neither Novotny nor Rothenfusser disclose that the test specimen is excited so that the liquid in the liquid couplant is ejected from the surface of the specimen, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that both systems are capable of such an ejection since it is well known that with a sufficient excitation power, the standing wave generated creates a large amplitude signature so that at least some of the liquid is ejected (i.e., liquid ejection occurs at sufficient excitation).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,707 Page 5

Art Unit: 2884

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 571-272-2435.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Otilia Gabor Primary Examiner

PRIMARY EXAMINER